arXiv:1101.5738v1 [math.NT] 30 Jan 2011

A SMALL QUOTIENT OF THE BIG ABSOLUTE GALOIS GROUP (JOINT WORK WITH IDO EFRAT AND JÁN MINÁČ)

SUNIL K. CHEBOLU

1. Introduction

This is a report of a talk given at the Oberwolfach workshop on "cohomology of finite groups: Interactions and applications" which was held during July 25th - July 31st, 2010. It is an announcement of some of the results (with motivation) and their applications from the paper "Quotients of absolute Galois groups which determine the entire Galois cohomology"; see arXiv:0905.1364.

Let F be a field and let F_{sep} denote the separable closure of F. The absolute Galois group G_F of F is the Galois group of the Galois extention F_{sep}/F. It can be expressed as the inverse limit of all the finite Galois extentions over F:

$$G_F := \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathrm{sep}}/F) = \lim_{[L\colon F]<\infty} \mathrm{Gal}(L/F).$$

Thus, absolute Galois groups are profinite groups. They are very rich but mysterious objects which are of great interest. For instance, they play a central role in study of the inverse Galois problem: given a finite group G when it is realisable as a Galois group of some Galois extension over L over F? note that from the Galois correspondence, this question has an affirmative answer provided there is a normal quotient of the absolute Galois group G_F by some closed normal subgroup whose quotient is isomorphic to G. Therefore a good knowledge of the structure of the absolute Galois groups is very desirable. The inverse Galois problem is wide open. It is known in some cases. For example, the Kronecker-Weber theorem tells us that finite abelian groups are realisable over $\mathbb{Q}$, the field of rational numbers. Similary, a deeper result of Shafarevich states that every solvable finite group is realisable over $\mathbb{Q}$.

A main open problem in modern Galois theory is the characterization of the profinite groups which are realizable as absolute Galois groups of fields F. The non-trivial torsion in such groups is described by the Artin–Schreier theory from the late 1920's, namely, it consists solely of involutions. That is, the only non-trivial finite subgroup of an absolute Galois group is C_2, the cyclic group of order 2. More refined information on the structure of absolute Galois groups is given by Galois cohomology, systematically developed starting the 1950's by Tate, Serre, and others. Yet, explicit examples of torsion-free profinite groups which are not absolute Galois groups are rare. In 1970, Milnor [Mil70] introduced his K-ring functor $K_*^M(F)$, and pointed out close connections between this graded ring and the mod-2 Galois cohomology of the field. This connection, in a more general form, became known as the Bloch–Kato conjecture: it says that for all $r \geq 0$ and all m prime to char F, there is a canonical isomorphism $K_r^M(F)/m \to H^r(G_F, \mu_m^{\otimes r})$. The conjecture was proved for $r = 2$ by Merkurjev and Suslin [MS82], for r arbitrary and $m = 2$ by Voevodsky [Voe03], and in general by Rost, Voevodsky, with a patch by Weibel ([Voe03b], [Wei09], [Wei08]). In particular, the Block-Kato conjecture implies that the Galois cohomology ring has generators in degree one and relations in degree two.

We obtain new constrains on the group structure of absolute Galois groups of fields, using this isomorphism. We use these constrains to produce new examples of torsion-free profinite groups which are not absolute Galois groups. We also demonstrate that the maximal pro-p quotient of the absolute Galois group can be characterized in purely cohomological terms! The main object of the talk is a remarkable small quotient of the absolute Galois group, which, because of the above isomorphism, already carries a substantial information about the arithmetic of F.

2. Main theorems

In this section we mention a couple of results. For more results and proofs of the results we refer the reader to our paper on the arXiv: 0905.1364. Fix a prime number p and a p-power $q = p^d$, with $d \geq 1$. All fields which appear in this paper will be tacitly assumed to contain a primitive qth root of unity. Let F be such a field and let $G_F = \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathrm{sep}}/F)$ be its absolute Galois group, where F_{sep} is the separable closure of F. Let $H^*(G_F) = H^*(G_F, \mathbb{Z}/q)$ be the Galois cohomology ring with the trivial action of G_F on $\mathbb{Z}/q$. Our new constraints relate the descending q-central sequence $G_F^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, of G_F with $H^*(G_F)$. Setting $G_F^{[i]} = G_F/G_F^{(i)}$, we show that the quotient $G_F^{[3]}$ determines $H^*(G_F)$, and vice versa. Specifically, we prove:

Theorem A. *The inflation map gives an isomorphism*

$$H^*(G_F^{[3]})_{\mathrm{dec}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(G_F),$$

where $H^*(G_F^{[3]})_{\mathrm{dec}}$ is the decomposable part of $H^*(G_F^{[3]})$ (i.e., its subring generated by degree 1 elements).

We further establish the following result.

Theorem B. *Let F_1, F_2 be fields and let $\pi\colon G_{F_1} \to G_{F_2}$ be a (continuous) homomorphism. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *the induced map $\pi^*\colon H^*(G_{F_2}) \to H^*(G_{F_1})$ is an isomorphism;*
(ii) *the induced map $\pi^{[3]}\colon G_{F_1}^{[3]} \to G_{F_2}^{[3]}$ is an isomorphism.*

Theorems A and B show that $G_F^{[3]}$ is a Galois-theoretic analog of the cohomology ring $H^*(G_F)$. Its structure is considerably simpler and more accessible than the full absolute Galois group G_F (see e.g., [EM08]). Yet, as shown in our theorems, these small and accessible quotients encode and control the entire cohomology ring.

In the case $q = 2$ the group $G_F^{[3]}$ has been extensively studied under the name "W-group", in particular in connection with quadratic forms [MSp96], [AKM99], [MMS04]). In this special case, Theorem A was proved in [AKM99, Th. 3.14]. It was further shown that then $G_F^{[3]}$ has great arithmetical significance: it encodes large parts of the arithmetical structure of F, such as its orderings, its Witt ring, and certain non-trivial valuations. Theorem A explains this surprising phenomena, as these arithmetical objects are known to be encoded in $H^*(G_F)$ (with the additional knowledge of the Kummer element of -1).

First links between these quotients and the Bloch–Kato conjecture, and its special case the Merkurjev–Suslin theorem, were already noticed in a joint work of Mináč and Spira and in work of Bogomolov.

Our approach is purely group-theoretic, and the main results above are in fact proved for arbitrary profinite groups which satisfy certain conditions on their cohomology. A key point is a rather general group-theoretic approach, partly inspired by [GM97], to the Milnor K-ring construction by means of quadratic hulls of graded algebras. The Rost–Voevodsky theorem on the bijectivity of the Galois symbol shows that these cohomological conditions are satisfied by absolute Galois groups as above. Using this we deduce aforementioned Theorems in their field-theoretic version.

3. Groups which are not maximal pro-p Galois groups

We now apply Theorem A to give examples of pro-p groups which cannot be realized as maximal pro-p Galois groups of fields (assumed as before to contain a root of unity of order p). The groups we construct are only a sample of the most simple and straightforward examples illustrating our theorems, and many other more complicated examples can be constructed along the same lines. Throughout this section $q = p$. We have the following immediate consequence of the analog of Theorem A for maximal pro-p Galois groups:

Proposition 3.1. *If G_1, G_2 are pro-p groups such that $G_1^{[3,p]} \cong G_2^{[3,p]}$ and that $H^*(G_1) \not\cong H^*(G_2)$, then at most one of them can be realized as the maximal pro-p Galois group of a field.*

Corollary 3.2. *Let S be a free pro-p group and R a nontrivial closed normal subgroup of $S^{(3,p)}$. Then $G = S/R$ cannot occur as a maximal pro-p Galois group of a field.*

Example 3.3. Let S be a free pro-p group on 2 generators, and take $R = [S,[S,S]]$. By Corollary 3.2, $G = S/R$ is not realizable as $G_F(p)$ for a field F as above. Note that $G/[G,G] \cong S/[S,S] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ and $[G,G] = [S,S]/S^{(3,0)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, so G is torsion-free.

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a pro-p group such that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^1(G) < \mathrm{cd}(G)$. When $p = 2$ assume also that G is torsion-free. Then G is not a maximal pro-p Galois group of a field as above.*

Example 3.5. Let K, L be finitely generated pro-p groups with $1 \le n = \mathrm{cd}(K) < \infty$, $\mathrm{cd}(L) < \infty$, and $H^n(K)$ finite. Let $\pi\colon L \to \mathrm{Sym}_m$, $x \mapsto \pi_x$, be a homomorphism such that $\pi(L)$ is a transitive subgroup of Sym_m. Then L acts on K^m from the left by ${}^x(y_1, \dots, y_m) = (y_{\pi_x(1)}, \dots, y_{\pi_x(m)})$. Let $G = K^m \rtimes L$. It is generated by the generators of one copy of K and of L. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^1(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^1(K) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^1(L)$.

On the other hand, a routine inductive spectral sequence argument (see [NSW08, Prop. 3.3.8]) shows that for every $i \ge 0$ one has

(1) $\mathrm{cd}(K^i) = in$;
(2) $H^{in}(K^i) = H^n(K, H^{(i-1)n}(K^{i-1}))$, with the trivial K-action, is finite.

Moreover, $\mathrm{cd}(G) = \mathrm{cd}(K^m) + \mathrm{cd}(L)$. For m sufficiently large we get $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^1(G) < mn + \mathrm{cd}(L) = \mathrm{cd}(G)$, so by Proposition 3.4, G is not a maximal pro-p Galois group as above. When K, L are torsion-free, so is G.

For instance, one can take K to be a free pro-p group $\neq 1$ on finitely many generators, and let $L = \mathbb{Z}_p$ act on the direct product of p^s copies of K via $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}/p^s$ by cyclicly permuting the coordinates.

REFERENCES

[AKM99] A. Adem, D. B. Karagueuzian, and J. Mináč, *On the cohomology of Galois groups determined by Witt rings*, Adv. Math. **148** (1999), 105–160.

[EM08] I. Efrat and J. Mináč, *On the descending central sequence of absolute Galois groups* (2008), to appear.

[GM97] W. Gao and J. Mináč, *Milnor's conjecture and Galois theory I*, Fields Institute Communications **16** (1997), 95–110.

[GS06] P. Gille and T. Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[Koc02] H. Koch, *Galois theory of p-extensions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[MMS04] L. Mahé, J. Mináč, and T. L. Smith, *Additive structure of multiplicative subgroups of fields and Galois theory*, Doc. Math. **9** (2004), 301-355.

[MS82] A. S. Merkurjev and A. A. Suslin, *K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), 1011–1046 (Russian); English transl., Math. USSR Izv. **21** (1983), 307–340.

[Mil70] J. Milnor, *Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms*, Invent. Math. **9** (1969/1970), 318–344.

[MSp96] J. Mináč and M. Spira, *Witt rings and Galois groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **144** (1996), 35–60.

[NSW08] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of Number Fields, Second edition*, Springer, Berlin, 2008.

[OVV07] D. Orlov, A. Vishik, and V. Voevodsky, *An exact sequence for $K_*^M/2$ with applications to quadratic forms*, Ann. Math. **165** (2007), 1–13.

[Ser02] J.-P. Serre, *Galois cohomology*, Springer, Berlin, 2002. Translated from the 1964 French Edition by Patrick Ion and revised by the author.

[Voe03a] V. Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$-coefficients*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **98** (2003), 59–104.

[Voe03b] V. Voevodsky, *On motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/l$-coefficients*, to appear in Ann. Math. (2003), available at `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0639/`. revised in 2009.

[Wei08] C. A. Weibel, *The proof of the Bloch–Kato conjecture*, ICTP Lecture Notes series **23** (2008), 1–28.

[Wei09] C. A. Weibel, *The norm residue isomorphism theorem*, J. Topology **2** (2009), 346–372.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ILLINOIS STATE UNIVERSITY, CAMPUS BOX 4520, NORMAL, IL 61790, USA

E-mail address: `schebol@ilstu.edu`